

## Course Description

Course name	#049 - Quantum vs Postquantum Cryptography
Duration	3 days
Format	Public Classroom, Inhouse Event and Online

### Overview

Research Professor Savo Glisic, Worcester Polytechnic Institute, MA, United States, is teaching this 3-day course about Quantum vs Postquantum Cryptography.

The research and practical results on Quantum computers in the recent years have given a major setback to classical and widely used cryptography schemes such as (Rivest-Shamir-Adleman) Algorithm and ECC (Elliptic Curve Cryptography). RSA and ECC depend on integer factorization problems and discrete logarithm problems respectively, which can be easily solved by Quantum Computers of sufficiently large size running the infamous Shor's Algorithm. Therefore, cryptography schemes which are difficult to solve in both traditional as well as Quantum Computers need to be evaluated. **This course provides a detailed survey on Post-Quantum Cryptography schemes and emphasizes their applicability to provide security in constrained devices.** A comprehensive insight is provided into the schemes which could possibly replace RSA and ECC for security in constrained devices.

### Technical Focus

The research and practical results on Quantum computers in the recent years have given a major setback to classical and widely used cryptography schemes such as (Rivest-Shamir-Adleman) Algorithm and ECC (Elliptic Curve Cryptography). RSA and ECC depend on integer factorization problem and discrete logarithm problem respectively, which can be easily solved by Quantum Computers of sufficiently large size running the infamous Shor's Algorithm. Therefore, cryptography schemes which are difficult to solve in both traditional as well as Quantum Computers need to be evaluated. **This course provides a detailed survey on Post-Quantum Cryptography schemes and emphasizes their applicability to provide security in constrained devices.** A comprehensive insight is provided into the schemes which could possibly replace RSA and ECC for security in constrained devices.

While post-quantum cryptography is an effort to develop novel classical cryptosystems which are robust to factorization and other quantum algorithms, which is certainly one option, this does not completely solve the problem. The point is that there may be undiscovered quantum algorithms (or undiscovered classical ones) that might easily break the security of the new cryptosystems. In other words, postquantum cryptography is likely to offer only a partial and temporary solution to the problem. By contrast, quantum key distribution (QKD), discussed also in this course, offers the ultimate solution: restoring security and confidentiality by resorting to unbreakable principles of nature, such as the uncertainty principle or the monogamy of entanglement. **So, we cover in detail in this course the quantum cryptography as well.**

Even though QKD offers the ultimate solution to the security problem, its ideal implementation is hard to implement in practice and there are a number of open problems to be addressed. On one side, fully-device independent QKD protocols provide the highest level of quantum security, but they are quite demanding to realize and are characterized by extremely low secret key rates. On the other hand, more practical QKD protocols assume some level of trust in their devices, an assumption that allows them to achieve reasonable rates, but this also opens the possibility of dangerous side-channel attacks.

Besides a tradeoff between security and rate, there is also another important trade-off which is between rate and distance. Today, we know that there is a fundamental limit which restricts any point-to-point implementation of QKD. Given a lossy link with transmissivity, two parties cannot distribute more than the secret key capacity of the channel, which is i.e., scaling of secret bits per channel use at long distance. Ideal implementations of QKD protocols based on continuous-variable systems and Gaussian states may approach this capacity while those based on discrete variables falls below by additional factors. To overcome this limit and enable long-distance high-rate implementations of QKD, we need to develop quantum repeaters and quantum networks. In this way, we may achieve better long-distance scaling and further boost the rates by resorting to more complex routing strategies. The study of quantum repeaters and secure QKD networks is one of the hottest topics today which is also covered in this course. The course aims at providing an overview of the most important and most recent advances in the field of quantum cryptography, both theoretically and experimentally.

In near term, we expect that quantum security and QKD will be competing with so called post quantum security solutions and for this reason in a separate segment of this course we discuss in details pros and cons of each technology.

### **Who should attend?**

Participants with background in either quantum physics, networks planning, design, deployment and control or networks/internet economics should benefit from participation. This includes researchers, students and professors in academia as well as industry, networks operators, regulators and managers in this field.

## Course Daily Schedule

### Monday

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Qubit  
Entanglement  
Quantum Gates and Quantum Computing  
Quantum Teleportation and  
Quantum Information Theory  
Quantum algorithms  
Quantum parallelism  
Deutsch's algorithm  
The Deutsch–Jozsa algorithm

#### 2. QSA ALGORITHMS

The Deutsch Algorithm  
Simon's Algorithm  
Shor's Algorithm  
Quantum Phase Estimation Algorithm  
Grover's Quantum Search Algorithm  
Dürr-Høyer Quantum Search Algorithm  
Quantum Counting Algorithm  
Quantum Genetic Algorithm  
Harrow-Hassidim-Lloyd Algorithm  
Quantum Mean Algorithm  
Quantum Weighted Sum Algorithm

### PHYSICS OF QUANTUM ALGORITHMS

Implementation of Deutsch's Algorithm  
Implementation of Deutsch and Jozsa's Algorithm  
Ethan Bernstein and Umesh Vazirani Implementation  
Implementation of Quantum Fourier Transform  
Estimating Arbitrary Phases  
Improving success probability when estimating phases  
The Order-Finding Problem  
DESIGN EXAMPLE<sup>1)</sup>: How quantum parallelism and interference work  
DESIGN EXAMPLE<sup>2)</sup>: Grover's algorithm  
DESIGN EXAMPLE<sup>3)</sup>: Simon's  
DESIGN EXAMPLE<sup>4)</sup>: Shor's Algorithm

### Tuesday

#### 3. POST-QUANTUM CRYPTOGRAPHY

3.1 Overview of Post-Quantum Cryptosystems  
3.2 Rainbow

3.3 NTRU N-th degree Truncated polynomial Ring Units

3.4 LWE Cryptosystem

3.5 BLISS (Bimodal Lattice Signature Scheme (BLISS))

3.6 Variants of Merkle Signature Scheme

3.7 Lamport Signature

3.8 McEliece Cryptosystem: Code-based cryptography

3.9 Niederreiter Cryptosystem

Ex. 3.1 Key Generation for a SIS-Based Scheme

#### **4. QUANTUM CRYPTOGRAPHY**

4.1 Discrete Variable Protocols

4.2 Device-Independent QKD

4.3 Continuous-Variable QKD

4.4 Theoretical Models of Security

4.5 Limits of Point-to-Point QKD

4.6 QKD Against a Bounded Quantum Memory

Ex: Formulas for Gaussian states

**Wednesday**

#### **5. QKD OVER SUBOPTICAL BANDS**

Fundamentals of CVQKD

Security of CVQKD protocols

Composable security proof for cv QKD

Multicarrier Quadrature Division Modulation QKD over THz Band

TERAHERTZ QKD: System Model

Secret Key Rates

The total von Neumann entropy

System performance in the Extended Terahertz range

#### **6. QUANTUM NETWORK PROTOCOLS**

Summary of the analytical tools

Quantum states

Fidelity

Separable and entangled states

Quantum measurements

Quantum channel

LOCC channels

Quantum Link Layer Protocol

Entanglement swapping protocol

GHZ entanglement swapping protocol

Graph state distribution protocol

Entanglement distillation

Reinforcement Learning-based quantum decision processes

Quantum Networks

Tensor network

Reduced/marginal states of the overall quantum state of the network  
Practical network architecture  
Elementary link generation  
Quantum memories  
Examples of transmission channels that are relevant in practice  
Imperfections  
Ideal quantum state

## Instructor Biography

**Professor Savo Glisic.** Worcester Polytechnic Institute, MA, United States.

He was Visiting Scientist at Cranfield Institute of Technology, Cranfield, U.K. (1976-1977) and University of California, San Diego (1986-1987). He has been active in the field of wireless communications for 30 years and has published a number of papers and books. The latest book "Advanced wireless Networks: 5G/6G joint design of technology and business models" by John Wiley & Sons, 2015, covers the enabling technologies for the definition of incoming 5G systems.

Dr. Glisic is running an extensive doctoral program in the field of wireless networking ([www.telecomlab.oulu.fi/kurssit/networks/](http://www.telecomlab.oulu.fi/kurssit/networks/)).

His research interest is in the area of network optimization theory, network topology control and graph theory, cognitive networks and game theory, radio resource management, QoS and queuing theory, networks information theory, protocol design, advanced routing and network coding, relaying, cellular, WLAN, ad hoc, sensor, active and bio inspired networks with emphasis on genetic algorithms and stochastic geometry. The latest interest is in the area of spectra sharing, robust heterogeneous network design, Artificial Intelligence (AI), Inter System Networking (ISN), block chains and complex networks theory. He is doing research within the WiFiUS collaborative program between the NSF in US and Finnish Academy as well as on a number of research projects sponsored by EU FP7 program. He is active within 5G ppp association preparing the projects for Horizon 2020 calls.

Dr. Glisic has served as the Technical Program Chairman of the third IEEE ISSSTA'94, the eighth IEEE PIMRC'97, and IEEE ICC'01. He was Director of IEEE ComSoc MD programs.

Dr. Glisic has been a member of the Continuing Education Institute-Europe faculty since 1995.